

Social Security Administration

§ 410.670a

that there is no prejudiced individual who wishes to continue the action.

(c) *Request for review not timely filed.* A request for review of a decision by an Administrative Law Judge shall be dismissed where the party has failed to file a request for review within the time specified in § 410.661 and the time for filing such request has not been extended as provided in § 410.669.

§ 410.668 Extension of time to request reconsideration.

If a party to an initial determination desires to file a request for reconsideration after the time for filing such request has passed (see § 410.624), such party may file a petition with the Administration for an extension of time for the filing of such request. Such petition shall be in writing and shall state the reasons why the request for reconsideration was not filed within the required time. For good cause shown, the component of the Administration which has jurisdiction over the proceedings (see § 410.601) may extend the time for filing the request for reconsideration.

§ 410.669 Extension of time to request hearing or review or begin civil action.

(a) *General.* Any party to a reconsidered determination, a decision of an Administrative Law Judge (formerly called *hearing examiner*), or a decision of the Appeals Council (resulting from an initial determination as described in § 410.610), may petition for an extension of time for filing a request for hearing or review or for commencing a civil action in a district court of the United States, although the time for filing such request or commencing such action (see §§ 410.631 and 410.661 and section 205(g) of the Social Security Act as incorporated by section 413(b) of the Act), has passed. If an extension of the time fixed by § 410.631 for requesting a hearing before an Administrative Law Judge is sought, the petition may be filed with an Administrative Law Judge. In any other case, the petition shall be filed with the Appeals Council. The petition shall be in writing and shall state the reasons why the request or action was not filed within the required time. For good cause shown, an

Administrative Law Judge or the Appeals Council, as the case may be, may extend the time for filing such request or action.

(b) *Where civil action commenced against wrong defendant.* If a party to a decision of the Appeals Council, or to a decision of the Administrative Law Judge where the request for review of such decision is denied (see § 410.662), timely commences a civil action in a district court as provided by section 205(g) of the Social Security Act as incorporated by section 413(b) of the Act, but names as defendant the United States or any agency, officer, or employee thereof instead of the Commissioner either by name or by official title, and causes process to be served in such action as required by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Administration shall mail notice to such party that he has named the incorrect defendant in such action; and the time within which such party may commence the civil action pursuant to section 205(g) of the Social Security Act against the Commissioner shall be deemed to be extended to and including the 60th day following the date of mailing of such notice.

[37 FR 20653, Sept. 30, 1972, as amended at 62 FR 38453, July 18, 1997]

§ 410.670 Review by Appeals Council.

Where an Administrative Law Judge has determined the matter of extending the time for filing such request (whether he has allowed or denied the request for such extension), the Appeals Council on its own motion may review such determination and either affirm or reverse it. In connection with this review, the Appeals Council may consider whatever additional evidence relevant to this request a party may wish to present.

§ 410.670a Judicial review.

A civil action may be commenced in a district court of the United States with respect to a decision of the Appeals Council, or to a decision of the Administrative Law Judge (formerly called *hearing examiner*) where the request for review of such decision is denied by the Appeals Council, as provided in section 205 (g) and (h) of the